

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/626,992	07/24/2003	Gordon Tang	TG1143C23	4165	
75	90 10/13/2004		EXAMINER		
Robert M. Storwick			ESTREMSKY, GARY WAYNE		
PO Box 386 Mercer Island, WA 98040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	3676		
			DATE MAILED: 10/13/2004	DATE MAILED: 10/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/626,992	TANG, GORDON	S			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Gary Estremsky	3676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
· _	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati	on No	Stone			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	ed in this National	Stage			
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•d				
See the attached detailed Office action for a 113	tor the certified copies not receive	ou.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date			O-152)			

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- 2. group I the device shown in Fig 2 for example.
- 3. group II the device shown in Fig 10 for example.
- 4. group III the device shown in Fig 12 for example.
- 5. group IV the device shown in Fig 14 for example.
- group V the device shown in Fig 17 for example.
- 7. group VI the device including element shown in Fig 19 for example.
- 8. group VII the device including element shown in Fig 20 for example.
- 9. group VIII the device as shown in Fig 22 for example.
- 10. group IX the device as shown in Fig 24 for example.
- 11. group X the device as shown in Fig 26 for example.
- 12. group XI the device as shown in Fig 29 for example.
- 13. group XII the device as shown in Fig 31 for example.
- 14. group XIII the device as shown in Fig 33 for example.
- 15. group XIV the device as shown in Fig 35 for example.
- 16. group XV the device as shown in Fig 40 for example.
- 17. group XVI the device as shown in Fig 42 for example.
- 18. group XVII the device as shown in Fig 49 for example.
- 19. group XVIII the device as shown in Fig 56 for example.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,992

Art Unit: 3676

The examiner first acknowledges that in principle, a generic claim that could read on all embodiments could be written. Accordingly, it is not unreasonable to expect that generic claims that can read on many various subsets of the above-indicated groups could be written and that is assumed to be the case now.

Regardless, Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Full search and consideration of specific claims to each of the structurally-distinct embodiments in this one Application would place undue burden on the examiner since the search required for specific features of one embodiment is not required for the others, etc..

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. It may be the case, that a claim that is generic to one or more embodiments is found allowable. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. Consequently, it is important for Applicant to indicate not only which

Application/Control Number: 10/626,992

Art Unit: 3676

pending (and amended) claims read on the elected species but also indicate if those claims read on other embodiments. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Estremsky whose telephone number is 703 308-0494. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Will can be reached on 703 308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3676

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gafy Estremsky Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676